The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte DANIEL J. DRUCKER and JULIE LOVSHIN

Appeal No. 2004-2356 Application No. 09/833,740

ERRATUM

Before ELLIS, GRIMES, and GREEN, <u>Administrative Patent Judges</u>.
GREEN, <u>Administrative Patent Judge</u>.

ERRATUM

This erratum to the final decision mailed July 20, 2005 is necessary to correct the "CONCLUSION." The erratum does not substantively alter said decision. Attention is directed to the following change:

1. Page 14, lines 13-15 are hereby amended to read: "The rejection of claims 1-5 and 9-11 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for lack of

adequate written description is affirmed as to all of the claim, <u>i.e.</u>, claims 1-5 and 9-11."

Joan Ellis

Administrative Patent Judge

se llis

Eric Grimes

Administrative Patent Judge

BOARD OF PATENT

APPEALS AND

) INTERFERENCES

Lora M. Green

Administrative Patent Judge

Appeal No. 2004-2356 Application No. 09/833,740

Stephen A. Bent Foley & Lardner Washington Harbour 3000 K. St., N.W., Suite 500 Washington D.C. 20007-5109